

Solution to LP problem:

- feasible
 - not feasible
- Rounding LP relaxation leads to a solution

Branch and Bound

Stopping rules

Exact solver for LP problem

Integer Linear Programming Blue Ridge Hot Tubs.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Max: } 350X_1 + 300X_2 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & 9X_1 + 6X_2 \leq 1,566 \quad (\text{Labor}) \\
 & 12X_1 + 16X_2 \leq 2,880 \quad (\text{Tubing}) \\
 & 1X_1 + 1X_2 \leq 200 \quad (\text{Pumps}) \\
 & X_1, X_2 \geq 0 \\
 & X_1, X_2 \text{ must be integers}
 \end{aligned}$$

LP Relaxation of LP problem

optimal solution of the LP relaxation

Bounds for Objective function Value (OFV) of LP
 OFV of LP relaxation is upper bound for max problem (LP)
 OFV of LP relaxation is lower bound for min problem (LP)

Conclusion: LP optimal OFV cannot be better than
 its LP relaxation.

10 Example: Employee Scheduling Problem

Shift	Days off	Wage	Day of week	Workers Req.
1	Sun. & Mon.	\$655 + \$25	Sun.	18
2	Mon & Tue	\$655 + \$50	Mon.	27
3	Tue & Wed	\$655 + \$50	Tue.	22
4	Wed & Thur	\$655 + \$50	Wed.	26
5	Thurs & Fri	\$655 + \$50	Thur.	25
6	Fri & Sat	\$655 + \$25	Fri.	21
7	Sat & Sun	\$655	Sat.	19

Decision Variables:

SM = # of workers assigned to shift i with Sun & Mon. off

MT =	2	.. Mon & Tue	-
TW =	3	.. Tue & Wed	-
WT =	4	.. Wed & Thur	-
TF =	5	.. Thur & Fri	-
FS =	6	.. Fri & Sat	-
SS =	7	.. Sat & Sun	-

Obj. Min: $680SM + 705MT + 705TW + 705WT + 705TF + 680FS + 655SS$

A Capital Budgeting Problem

Proj.	Expected NPV (\$1000)	Required in year 1 (\$1000)	Required in year 2 (\$1000)	Required in year 3 (\$1000)	Required in year 4 (\$1000)	Required in year 5 (\$1000)
1	\$141	75	25	20	15	10
2	\$187	90	35	0	0	30
3	\$121	60	15	15	15	15
4	\$83	30	20	10	5	5
5	\$265	100	25	20	20	20
6	\$127	50	20	10	30	40

Decision Variable:

$$P_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if project } i \text{ is selected} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$

OBJ: Max: $141P_1 + 187P_2 + 121P_3 + 83P_4 + 265P_5 + 127P_6$

LHS RHS

(Y1) $75P_1 + 90P_2 + 60P_3 + 30P_4 + 100P_5 + 50P_6 \leq 250$

(Y2) $25P_1 + 35P_2 + 15P_3 + 20P_4 + 25P_5 + 20P_6 \leq 75$

(Y3) $20P_1 + 0P_2 + 15P_3 + 10P_4 + 20P_5 + 10P_6 \leq 50$

(Y4) $15P_1 + 0P_2 + 15P_3 + 5P_4 + 20P_5 + 30P_6 \leq 50$

(Y5) $10P_1 + 30P_2 + 15P_3 + 5P_4 + 20P_5 + 40P_6 \leq 50$

$P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6$ must be binary

Additional possible constraints:

$P_1 + P_3 \leq 1$ no more than one

$P_1 + P_3 = 1$ exactly one

$P_4 + P_5$

feasible $\leq \phi$

yes
yes
no
both
none
PS only
PS only